

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,793	12/21/2001		Kazuyoshi Sotoyama	427-53	1922
7590 12/30/2003				EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye				WONG, LESLIE A	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714				1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/018,793	SOTOYAMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie Wong	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	aror oroanom roquir ornorm						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language priority acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not receive estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( first sentence of the specification of provisional application has been recestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,793

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP9154485 or JP9103242 in view of Fontenille.

JP9154485 and JP9103242 disclose a processed cheese-like food that is heated and emulsified at an upper limit temperature of about 120°C and then cooled (see corresponding abstracts).

The claims differ as to the specific cooling conditions and the recitation that the material "be reused."

In the absence of a showing to the contrary, the cooling conditions are no more than that required for a quick cool after heat treatment, where selection of cooling conditions is well-within the skill of the art.

Fontenille discloses the conventional use of reused cheese (see Example 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the claimed cooling conditions in that of either JP9154485 or JP9103242 because a quick cool after heat treatment is conventional and well known in the art. Also, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to reuse the products of JP9154485 and JP9103242, as reuse is conventional in the cheese art.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,793

\_ . . .

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW

December 18, 2003